1	OSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney		
2 3	BRIAN STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
<b>4</b> 5	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052 Assistant United States Attorney		
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov		
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CR No. 07-678 JSW	
15	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ) EXCLUDING TIME	
16	V.	) LACEODING TIME	
17	GLENIO JESUA FERREIRA SILVA,		
18	Defendant.	)	
19		)	
20	On April 3, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that		
21	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from April 3, 2008 to April 11		
22	2008, upon which date the defendant will file pre-trial discovery motions. The parties		
23	represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel to		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME - CR 07-678 JSW  1		

1	afford defense counsel time to prepare the motions, taking into account the exercise of due		
2	diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
3			
4	SO STIPULATED:		
5	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO		
6	United States Attorney		
7	DATED: April 4, 2008  /s/  DENISE MARIE BARTON		
8	Assistant United States Attorney		
9			
10	DATED: April 4, 2008 /s/		
11	DATED: April 4, 2008  STEVEN GRUEL Attorney for GLENIO SILVA		
12			
13			
14	As the Court found on April 3, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds		
15	that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and		
16	the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act		
17	calculations from April 3, 2008 through April 11, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. Sec		
18	18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel		
19	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due		
20	diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(iv).		
21	SO ORDERED.		
22			
23			
24			
25	DATED: Honorable Jeffrey S. White		
26	United States District Court Judge		
27			
28			
	STIPLILATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME - CR 07-678 ISW		